Balint Gyevnar, Massimiliano Tamborski, Cheng Wang, Chris Lucas, Shay Cohen, Stefano Albrecht

In 2nd IJCAI Workshop on Artificial Intelligence for Autonomous Driving, 2022

UKRI CENTRE FOR DOCTORAL





Explore the role of explanations for passengers travelling with autonomous vehicles.



Explore the role of explanations for passengers travelling with autonomous vehicles.

Create a method to automatically generate explanations for autonomous vehicles which are beneficial for passengers.















DEMONSTRATION





DEMONSTRATION





DEMONSTRATION





Q: Why did you change lanes instead of continuing straight?





Q: Why did you change lanes instead of continuing straight?

A: If I had continued straight then I would have reached the goal slower, because Vehicle 1 is slowing down, likely to turn right.





HUMAN-CENTRIC XAI



HUMAN-CENTRIC XAI

Tim Miller. Explanation in artificial intelligence: Insights from the social sciences. Artificial Intelligence, 267:1-38, 2019.





EXPLAINABLE AI STRIKES BACK

HUMAN-CENTRIC XAI





Gyevnar et al. - Generating Human-Centric Causal Explanations for Autonomous Vehicles

EXPLAINABLE AI STRIKES BACK

HUMAN-CENTRIC XAI









"Cars that Explain: Building Trust in Autonomous Vehicles through Explanations and Conversations"

Third Prize in "Shape the Future of ITS" Competition by IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Society, 2022

Blog post: https://agents.inf.ed.ac.uk/blog/explainable-autonomous-vehicle-intelligence/













External Internal









External Internal











Factual: Change lane left Counterfactual: Continue straight

"If we had continued straight, we would have...

SCENARIO 1





Factual: Change lane left Counterfactual: Continue straight

"If we had continued straight, we would have...

reached the goal **slower**, because vehicle 1 likely changes right then exits right.





Factual: Change lane left Counterfactual: Continue straight

"If we had continued straight, we would have...

reached the goal **slower** and with **more jerk** and with **less angular** velocity, because vehicle 1 probably changes right then exits right.





Factual: Change lane left Counterfactual: Continue straight

"If we had continued straight, we would have...

likely **collided** with vehicle 1 because vehicle 1 probably changes right then exits right.





Factual: Change lane left Counterfactual: Exit right

"If we had turned right, we would have...

SCENARIO 1





Factual: Change lane left Counterfactual: Exit right

"If we had turned right, we would have...

Not reached the goal.

SCENARIO 1





• What if macro actions are too abstract?



- What if macro actions are too abstract?
- How to support other types of questions?



- What if macro actions are too abstract?
- How to support other types of questions?
- How do we guarantee soundness of the generated explanations?



- What if macro actions are too abstract?
- How to support other types of questions?
- How do we guarantee soundness of the generated explanations?
- Are our generated explanations social enough?



- What if macro actions are too abstract?
- How to support other types of questions?
- How do we guarantee soundness of the generated explanations?
- Are our generated explanations social enough?
- How could we involve human participants into our evaluation to fully understand and tackle their concerns?



A Human-Centric Method for Generating Causal Explanations in Natural Language for Autonomous Vehicle Motion Planning

arXiv: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.08783</u> Code: <u>https://github.com/uoe-agents/xavi-ai4ad</u>

Contributions:

- 1. We propose a framework for creating humancentric explanations for autonomous vehicles.
- 2. We propose a method to automatically generate human-centric explanations for the high-level driving behaviour of autonomous vehicles

In 2nd IJCAI Workshop on Artificial Intelligence for Autonomous Driving, 2022



THE BAYES NETWORK MODEL:

